Britain, My Nation



Independently published in support of and to promote British Nationalism

Edition 6 – Britain, My Nation – Dec 2024/Jan 2025

Contents

Page 3 - Editor's Letter

Page 4 - In the News

Page 5 - In the News (contd.)

Page 6 - How We Won Millwall by Steve Smith (Part 1 of 5)

Page 7 - How Do We Save Our Decaying Country?

Page 8 - Introducing – Dr James Lewthwaite, Chairman of the British Democratic Party

Page 9 - Which Nationalist Party? (1 of 3)

Page 10 - Which Nationalist Party? (2 of 3)

Page 11 - Which Nationalist Party? (3 of 3)

Page 12 - Which Nationalist Party? (4 of 3)

Page 13 - The Best of Spearhead Magazine – Feb 1976 (1)

Page 14 - The Best of Spearhead Magazine – Feb 1976 (2)

Page 15 - The Best of Spearhead Magazine – Feb 1976 (3)

Page 16 - Freedom of Information Act

Page 17 - A Time In History

Page 18 - Letters Page

Page 19 - Advertisements

Page 20 - Subscription Information

Disclaimer: The views and opinions expressed in this magazine are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect the views of the editor or any associated organisations.

Editor's Letter

We are pleased to welcome you to the latest edition of ***Britain, My Nation,*** the bi-monthly magazine published in support of and to publicise British Nationalism. We would also like to wish all our subscribers and readers a very happy Christmas and a healthy and prosperous New Year.

Much continues to happen in our country that make true Nationalists despair at what is happening and why the British people do not rise up and fight back by becoming active in their communities and making the required effort to put thing right. Unfortunately with the introduction of the internet and the associated addictive qualities that the devices we use for this have, far too many people believe that this is now their world. There are encouraging signs however, as Nationalism is increasingly back on the agenda, partly due to the massive failings and destructive policies of our current and previous governments, but more needs to be done by more of us. Our movement needs to grow so where are all of those active Nationalists and supporters of the past? Even as recently as the European elections of 2009 the British National Party almost one million votes and in the general election of 2010 standing 339 candidates achieved over 560,000 votes. Even if only 1% of those voters became active just think how much that would help. And where are those movers and shakers from those days? It seems they have given up the fight but they are still out there and would largely have the same views so surely with all that is happening now is the time to return to the fray. In my last Editor's Letter I did say I would devote a page to those who have 'gone off the radar' but think that hopefully they will themselves see the light. We can but hope!

Many readers have commented on how much they enjoy the Spearhead items that are a regular feature, which has resulted in the question being asked as to where is the mountain of old Nationalist literature that has been produced over the years? Are there magazines and papers languishing in the bottom of cupboards all over the Nation? I know, there are numerous collectors out there who I hope have made some form of arrangement with regard what will happen to their collections should the inevitable occur. I do know of at least one Nationalist, unfortunately no longer with us, whose family hired a skip and his lifelong collection of Nationalist memorabilia ended up as landfill! If you have, or know of anyone with such a collection make sure arrangements are in hand to ensure it doesn't end up going the same way. As we know from the brilliant items in Spearhead, it would be a travesty for these items to disappear.

Finally, I must again say how grateful we are that leading Nationalist figures have spared their time to contribute to our series 'Introducing…', which provides a platform for Nationalist personalities to talk about themselves and/or the party they represent, and so this month we must thank the Chairman of the British Democrats, Dr Jim Lewthwaite for his very interesting contribution. Sadly, this will be the last in this current series of articles, others have been asked for contributions but unfortunately to no avail.

Britain, My Nation will only be available by post on subscription and not via the internet or by email. Articles, letters, newspaper cuttings, and general comments for consideration for inclusion in future editions are welcomed, but please include your name and address if sending items (these will not be published, unless advised otherwise). Please send

by email to: - britainmynation@yahoo.com

by post to: **- The Editor, Britain, My Nation, 10 Washpool, SWINDON, Wiltshire, SN5 3PN**

We are planning on publishing ***Britain, My Nation*** for some time to come, but we can only do so with support from Nationalists within our community. We are truly grateful for the support and encouragement we have received to date but there is always more we can do, so please make sure you mention ***Britain, My Nation*** to your friends, family and political comrades and perhaps request a couple of extra copies that you can distribute as samples. Thank you all once more.

Robert Baggs – Editor

In the News - 1

If this edition, of ***Britain, My Nation,*** has arrived safely then World War III has probably not yet broken out. If that is the case then it's no thanks to Prime Minister Starmer (and US President Biden). By allowing **UKRAINE TO USE NATO MISSILES** in attacking Russia, they have run the risk that war will break out. Russia have said they would see such an attack as a declaration of war and therefore have the right to retaliate. When this point was put to Starmer it was fobbed off with an almost derisory suggestion on the lines of that it's for Ukraine so we have to do it. Many will consider that Russia, being no longer the super-power it was as the USSR, would not dare to take this further, but the fact that Starmer has taken such a risk without any real care for what might happen to the country he supposedly represents, is extremely disturbing but should come as no surprise considering his track record in the few months he has been Prime Minister. On that note Starmer must have discussed with Defence Secretary John Healey the **GOVERNMENT PLAN TO SCRAP FIVE WARSHIPS, DOZENS OF MILITARY HELICOPTERS AND FLEET OF DRONES** in a move to save around half a billion pounds, despite threats from Russia. This, again, is extremely foolhardy leaving us even less capable of defending our nation should we need to. Finally on the theme of Britain's defence, the Deputy Chief of Defence Staff, Lieutenant General Rob Magowan, recently told the House of Commons Defence Committee, that **IF THE BRITISH ARMY WAS ASKED TO FIGHT TONIGHT, IT WOULD FIGHT TONIGHT** which we cannot doubt, however if this meant that British forces were to be sent abroad to do so then it is something that Nationalists could not condone. Britain's forces should only be used to protect our own interests and not get involved in other countries wars. This situation, yet again, shows how reckless our government is and how again it does not act in our own interests.

Petitions
UK Government and Parliament
Petition
Call a General Election
I would like there to be another General Election.
I believe the current Labour Government have gone back on the promises they laid out in the lead up to the last election.
Sign this petition
2,873,962 signatures

SIR KEIR STARMER MUST BE THE MOST UNPOPULAR PRIME MINISTER OF ALL TIME. His approval rating has plummeted as a result of making massively unpopular decisions, several of which were mentioned in our last edition. However, he continues to upset and confuse the real British public with his actions, and also lack of actions as promised in his election manifesto. With only 5 months since his landslide election victory two-thirds of Britons already say they feel worse off. With voters looking towards the government to fix what went wrong under the previous Tory administration, they are being badly let down to the extent that a member of the public instigated a **PETITION TO CALL A GENERAL ELECTION** on the basis that he believes the current 'Labour Government have gone back on the promises they laid out in the lead up to the last election'. The petition was started on Wednesday 20th November and at the time of writing the petition had been signed by well over 2,800,000 people and as a result Parliament will debate it on the 6th January 2025. In terms of changing the government the petition will have no effect, and Starmer has already said as such, but as a marker of how angry people are and how unpopular the government is, the petition has worked very well indeed particularly with the world-wide publicity it has gained. It may well be that despite Starmer giving the impression this petition is a waste of time, he is trying to recoup some lost ground. On the 28th November he held a press conference, as a result of the release of 'shocking' migration figures, in which he vowed to end the Tories 'open borders experiment'. Surely it is no coincidence that this is the key issue that true Britons place on top of their list of concerns but it will be interesting to see if his statement is simply just words or will actual deeds follow up what he has said. Figures also released show that a massive £5.38bn was spent on asylum in 2023/24, costs which include all Home Office costs related to asylum, including direct cash support and accommodation, as well as staffing and other migration and borders activity.

In the News - 2

The government seem to have very much misunderstood the anger of the people regarding the level of immigration as well as the ridiculously high figure of £5.38bn spent on these unwanted thousands! The **BRITISH PEOPLE ARE ALSO VERY ANGRY REGARDING OTHER FINANCIAL ASPECTS OF HOW THE GOVERNMENT IS MANAGING THE COUNTRY!** The government seems to think it perfectly acceptable to spend £5.38bn on immigrants but cut the pensioners winter fuel payment saving £1.5bn, cut £564m from local councils budgets for adult social care, introduce a destructive tax on the farming community to raise £520m, cut £450m from the Metropolitan police budgets, cut £500m from defence budgets and there is a £1.5bn black hole in the education budget. All of these cuts and more could have been avoided had there been no expenditure on these alien people. The government would rather put our own people at risk through all these cuts and exacerbate that with the quality of those immigrants coming into the country. British people are not the priority and will continue to be those that will suffer. We deserve better than this!

As referred to above, the government is seemingly waging war on farmers by making them eligible for inheritance tax in order to raise over £500m. Those on the left believe this only fair when all others in the country are eligible for this tax, but farmers are a unique case. Yes, their farms may be worth a great deal of money but they have traditionally handed these down the family line. Now, those inheriting the farms will need to find hundreds of thousands of pounds, money that is not ordinarily to hand, so many farms will cease functioning and be bought by developers for housing or wind and solar farms. As a consequence farmers are organising demonstrations that are very well supported by the majority of our people. However if the government persists our ability to feed our people will reduce and potentially cause major problems. The slogan that protesters use '**NO FARMERS, NO FOOD'** is very apt indeed!

One other example of how our country is collapsing around us is the recent report in which shop-workers are calling for help saying that **'SHOPLIFTING IS OUT OF CONTROL'.** An 'Association of Convenience Stores' survey shows that 80% of shopkeepers are victims of retail crime. Many shoplifters are brazenly walking into shops and stealing goods with no fear of being apprehended with little that shop staff can do to stop them and even when the police are called, most of the time they do not turn up. These thefts result in higher prices for everyone else as the financial losses have to be covered. The report also says that the situation is getting worse. With the cuts to the Metropolitan Police, as mentioned above, this can be no surprise to anyone.

The war that the government and the controlled media are waging against our people continues, a recent example being the news that a British former soldier who served in Iraq and Afghanistan resulting in him suffering from PTSD, was **SENT TO PRISON FOR 2 YEARS FOR SOMETHING HE SAID ON FACEBOOK** after the recent Southport murders. He said "Civil war is here. The only thing missing is bullets, that's the next step". He also said "They want us to be Islamic, that's why they are here" and finally "Come on guys, it's time to stand up. Everything our ancestors, our grandparents and parents fought for is gone, don't be scared". He also posted some images that also obviously upset certain people. Compare that with numerous examples of paedophile rapists who are set free. A 2 tier society? Of course there is! Further to this, our ***Britain, My Nation*** editor had his personal blog deleted for similarly upsetting unknown people with words (the relevant blog post will be reproduced in a future edition of our magazine).

Finally, many Nationalists will have recently attended **REMEMBRANCE PARADES**. Sadly, those who took part in the major conflicts are fewer each year, and general attendance at these events also drops. So the question has to be asked as to how many more years the remembrance of those who fought for our country will continue. In Swindon, Mayor Imtiyaz Shaikh presided over the ceremony, which was difficult to participate in as the PA system failed and several veterans, who should have known better, felt it more appropriate to chat throughout. It is little wonder that we are looking at the demise of such events.

How We Won Millwall by Steve Smith – Part 1 of 5

This, the first instalment of an article by Steve Smith, the 1993 Tower Hamlets British National Party Organiser, was first published in the, now defunct, magazine, Patriot, which ran to 8 issues between Spring 1997 and Summer 2001. Edited by Tony Lecomber, the magazine was very professionally produced but was relatively short-lived, serving partly as a vehicle to promote the takeover of the BNP by Nick Griffin replacing John Tyndall, so having served its purpose ceased production. Steve Smith (now of the British Democrats) has kindly given permission for us to reproduce this article which documents one of the finest hours in the history of the British National Party.

By the time the 1990s began, the BNP had become the country's premier nationalist organisation – more active, more professional and much bigger than any others even combined. The nearest rivals were the NF 'Flag group', but they had shrunk to a very small size due to lack of direction and poor leadership. Even so, the BNP at the very beginning of 1990, despite organisational improvements and a noted propaganda success the year before at Dewsbury, could not claim to have achieved anything like the political and electoral impact of the National Front of the 1970s.

BNP Rights for Whites rally: Justice for John Stoner!

The first year of the new decade was to change that. The Tower Hamlets area of East London has a rich heritage of patriotic activity that dates back to the turn of the century. With this in mind, the then Branch Organiser Eddy Butler decided to map out a coherent strategy to not only further the development of the branch, but also to create a level of political success and achievement that would help build the party as a whole.

The strategy was a mixture of traditional methods and new tactics, with the emphasis on community-based politics. There was a need for a new approach, because the 'megaphone and placard' demo-culture of the '70s and '80s had only a limited effect in terms of votes and recruitment. The combination of hard work, consistency and aiming for realistic targets would, we hoped, change the fortunes of British Nationalism which had been languishing in political no-man's land since 1979 and reduced to a small base of support.

The first major activity for the branch and indeed for the party that year was a march and rally that took place in March 1990. Only two weeks before, a march had taken place that was organised by local people in Tower Hamlets in response to a white boy, John Stoner, being stabbed by a gang of Asians while studying in a classroom at a local school. This event attracted around 300 angry whites with a small BNP contingent that marched to Bethnal Green police station to protest at the increasing amount of racial attacks. Shortly after this, another local white was attacked in a potentially fatal incident. We therefore decided to hold our own activity to give angry and frustrated whites an opportunity to express their grievances in a legitimate political way.

Ordinary East Enders rallying to the BNP for Rights for Whites

This activity provided an additional bonus in that in two months time we were contesting council seats in the local government elections of May 1990 and the inevitable publicity from our demonstration would help raise our profile. To advertise the march we embarked upon two weeks of intense leafletting. We also had a reasonable amount of press coverage from the local media, but we considered it essential to get our message through to the local population via the letterbox.

How Do We Save Our Decaying Country?

In our previous piece in this series we reached the point where life as a child ends and life as an adult begins. It is very difficult to compare that period in a person's development in 2024 with how it was in the 20th century. The maturity and sense of social responsibility, of those born in the 1970's and earlier, at this time in their lives seems to far surpass that of those in today's society. Back then almost everyone knew and understood that they had a fundamental duty to grow up and adapt from the cosseted life they had had and to seek out employment to pay their way in society. It was their responsibility, no-one else's, as mummy and daddy, nor the state, was expected to keep them in nappies past the age of twenty let alone when they were older, as so often seems the case these days! Yet, today, too many in our society, on reaching that age, the age at which they should be taking control of their lives, still live at home with no ambition nor sense of duty and therefore their ability to cope in our society has to be seriously questioned. As said before, when comparing those who answered the call to arms in both of the major wars of the last century with those of a similar age today, it is very evident that our society has gone very wrong indeed.

By introducing a form of National Service and apprenticeships, as described in our last issue, it would be hoped this sense of personal and societal responsibility would return, but what then? If there is nothing in place to follow and support this development then there is nothing to stop a return to the ways of old, so it is crucial that incentives exist to encourage those young adults to take the next step in to the real world. Opportunities should exist so that those who have successfully spent the two years, from 16 – 18, in whichever of the options they chose, would be rewarded with a career placement. This opportunity would be on a contractual basis such that for, say, 2 or 3 years, they would work for a sensible wage, in the appropriate career. During that period a scheme would be in place, similar to that of a pension scheme, whereby the employee and employer would contribute towards a housing scheme with funds accruing to become a viable deposit for housing. On top of this, to ensure that young people took this pathway and did not seek an easy opt-out, unemployment benefits would cease, unless some form of medical impairment existed preventing the employee from taking part in this type of scheme.

Government funding would be available to support the above schemes and that funding itself would be available as all overseas expenditure would stop. There is absolutely no reason why British money should be spent on anything other than British needs, we must always work on the basis that we put Britain First!

In addition a system needs to be in place to encourage and reward the brightest in our community, those who show that they have the skills and expertise to make a positive difference in society, be it inventing an item or system to make life better for others, or those with ideas that create wealth and employment opportunities, an entrepreneurial scheme of sorts.

Ultimately, whichever option those 16-18 year olds take, we must ensure there is always an employment opportunity available to them afterwards. Society will always have its share of criminals, rogues and misfits, who will never want to tow the line, and for those few special measures would be in place. The state would provide them with no means of financial support, but would introduce a form of conscription to manage and monitor those individuals in establishments where they live and work for a minimum of six months, or until it was apparent that they were prepared to play their part in society and not waste their time and upset the lives of others as a result of their unruly behaviour. Obviously criminals would be dealt with via a legal system that ensured they were duly punished for the crime they had committed, including hard labour with no parole for good behaviour, nor would they be given the benefit of items to make their lives more comfortable e.g. TVs etc. Much of this may seem harsh but it is the choice of the individual who has the option to play a positive part in society and contribute towards the health, well-being and prosperity of their fellow countrymen, or not.

In our next edition we will look at those elements in our society that disrupt and destroy our traditional British way of life, beginning with the immigration problem, foreign control and influence and politically incorrect and subversive elements.

Introducing – Dr James G. Lewthwaite, Chairman, British Democratic Party

Bombay, Bradford & the British Democrats



Of Cumbrian parentage but growing up in Bristol, father a banker, I was apolitically conservative until, like so many, illuminated by Enoch Powell's 1968 speech. I felt he was absolutely right in predicting that uncontrolled immigration from the Caribbean and South Asia would lead to eventual disaster. Unusually, I felt that the initial trouble would not occur between 'Whites' and 'Blacks' (sensu largo) but among the different faiths and ethnicities of the 'Blacks'.

The reason for this was that I was born in India (1952) only returning here, after the Suez crisis, to attend school. My father had been seconded to the Indian Army (9th Jats, 5th Indian Inf. Division) for the latter part of the war (first Alamein, Burma, incl. Imphal), staying out East as a banker in Chittagong and Bombay, where I grew up. Bombay was then a hotbed of religious and ethnic antagonism (Hindus v. Moslems; Marathis v. Gujaratis etc). Dad was often elected to be jury foreman in such cases as only an Englishman could be trusted to give an impartial verdict. Hence my suspicion that such antipathies would not dissolve on immigration to Britain; indeed, could the loyalties of these 'new citizens' be guaranteed if Britain were ever at loggerheads with their homelands e.g. over Kashmir? Fundamentally, it seemed to me (at fifteen, studying classics at Bristol Grammar School) that the Nation-state principle i.e. the folk state, was the source of our stability and prosperity and its dilution in favour of creedal citizenship on the Americo-French model was fatally in error.

Nevertheless, I did not become an activist until the 2003 successes of the BNP in the Bradford-Halifax area, where I was living and working as a security guard. I had been forced to give up my academic career as archaeologist and prehistorian (Cambridge BA1974; PHD 1985), as I had been targeted by the Left for my outspoken defence of the South African delegation 'disinvited' from the 1986 World Archaeological Congress.

At the age of 51, I finally became politically active as a BNP member, being almost immediately elected (2004) as a councillor for Wyke and default group leader on Bradford Metropolitan District Council. In 2007 I was forced out of Wyke by the usual Griffinesque shenanigans, losing my seat. When the whole of Yorkshire BNP revolted against the appointment rather than election of officers, I co-founded the Democratic Nationalists splinter group which eventually, at the urging of patriotic architect, Peter Phillips, merged with other groupuscules to form the British Democratic Party / British Democrats in 2013, with Andrew Brons as the best-known figure.

The aim was to continue the BNP's electoral strategy but to introduce a democratic constitution, empowering the membership (officers elected for a limited period, unpaid) with a separation of powers (Judiciary Independent of the Executive) and transparent accounting.

I believe we offer the best chance of carrying Racial Nationalism forward along the electoral path, as it is not focused on individual ambition but on harnessing the full range of talent.

When called upon by the members, I will step down and another will take over from me.

Which Nationalist Party? (1 of 4)

We here at ***Britain, My Nation*** see the saving of our nation from destruction as the biggest problem we have ever faced and we exist to play our part for the cause. Consequently it never fails to mystify us that, so many of the parties listed below, sadly seem to make little or no effort to publicise their raison d'etre. A prime example of this is when attempting to navigate party websites, to determine party news or party policies, and finding that little or no attention has been given to ensure they are up to date. It therefore begs the question as to why they exist, because it certainly gives the impression that they are not totally serious about playing their part in putting things right. We certainly hope that they prove us wrong! In this edition, as well as party news, if there is any, (no comment will be given if not), we select details from party policies relating to law and order.

British Democratic Party

The British Democrats AGM took place in Essex on Saturday 2nd November. The party reported this was "… a resounding success with an impressive array of speakers, covering a wide range of topics that kept the audience engaged". All of the speakers were officials or time-served and seasoned members of the party, all of which are good people. However, despite doing well in a couple of by-elections, the question must be asked as to how the party hopes to expand nationally and win over a new and younger generation of Nationalists to their membership.

Party policy, under the heading of Law and Justice states that the party are determined that England and Wales should continue to use their Common Law System, with judicial precedent and its adversarial form of trial, with Scotland and Northern Ireland remaining free to continue to use their own systems that are guaranteed by law. They believe that the strength of our criminal justice system lies in regulated police procedure, an independent prosecution service and trial by jury. Specifics include that civil actions for recovery of debts by individuals and small and medium-sized businesses must be made more efficient.

They say that our criminal law must provide a strong deterrent and preventative sentences for repeat offenders and those convicted of the worst crimes, and while some first-time offenders react favourably to rehabilitative sentences, there are others who require strong, specific deterrent sentences to dissuade them from committing further offences. The party also raises the question of whether or not a sentence of capital punishment should be available to the courts for people convicted on conclusive evidence of the worst categories of murder and this should be decided by a referendum.

Britain First

The party were singled out for inclusion in a recent Channel 4 documentary, commissioned and produced by the so-called charitable organisation Hope Not Hate. "Undercover: Exposing The Far Right" was supposedly an "explosive new documentary that reveals the threat the far right poses to our society" but to anyone other than those who see HnH as being a worthy organisation, the 90-minute long film came across as simply desperate, particularly when only seeing BF as worthy of inclusion! It may well be that leader, Paul Golding's claim on X (was Twitter) that BF has a membership of 20,000 was taken on face value, though having investigated further via party accounts on the Electoral Commission website, it is apparent they have 3,000 at the most. This 20,000 claim appears as deceitful at best and not worthy of those hoping to further the Nationalist cause.

The party has a relatively comprehensive set of aims relating to law and order including implementing a radical reversal of the legal and policing focus on the 'rights' of criminals and replacement with a traditional focus on putting the victims of crime first. They say that woke, politically correct policing must cease so we can get back to the basics of fighting crime. They see a need for a greater use of National Service for habitual offenders with anyone repeatedly breaking laws being sent to endure the discipline of the Armed Forces in the hope this will break the cycle of repeat offending. BF calls for a referendum on the restoration of capital punishment for paedophiles, terrorists and murderers, following a robust national debate. BF also say that prisons must become productive institutions that contribute to society, preferably with on-site manufacturing or off-site agricultural work with prisoners being deprived of items deemed as luxuries with a potential reduction in sentences. The party also consider that automatic release from prison after serving 50% of a sentence should cease and be dependent on behaviour, hard work and improvement during time served. BF also believe that deadly force should be legal for all residents to protect their families from intruders. Finally they would seek a major and decisive clamp down on street-level Islamist grooming gangs operating across the UK and civil servants police officers facilitating or covering up the scourge of Islamist grooming gangs will be prosecuted and sent to prison.

Which Nationalist Party? (2 of 4)

British Freedom Party

No comprehensive policy relating to law and order can be found other than the party website stating that they acknowledge the Magna Carta as a supreme law.

British Movement

Policy documents, recently gratefully received from BM, include the acknowledgement that many of our laws have been created and amended to make things easier for immigrants and foreigners, and by their very nature create problems for white Britons, in that any opposition to the imposition of these non-native peoples can be stifled. The BM would repeal these laws.

British National Party

BNP policy on law and order includes for the freeing up of police from the politically correct straitjacket stopping them doing their jobs properly, ending the liberal fixation with the "rights" of criminals replacing it with concern for the rights of victims. The party would like to see the restoration of capital punishment as an option for child killers and terrorists and where guilt is proven beyond dispute. They would like to see a crack-down on crime, with more police on the streets, zero tolerance for drug-dealers and violent thugs and tougher treatment of criminals. There would also be a separation between mental health and criminal problems. Compulsory treatment would be introduced for drug addicts committing crimes. Sharia courts would be banned.

English Democrats

The speech by ED Chairman Robin Tilbrook, made at the autumn party conference held near Nottingham on Saturday 5th October can be found on YouTube and his own blog, but not on the party website. This was noted as a joint conference with UKIP but there is little evidence that UKIP played much of a role in that. It does need noting however that both parties are signed up to a pact known as the Patriots Alliance which is officially registered with the Electoral Commission.

Sections 2.11 and 2.12, titled Policing, and the Legal System respectively are seemingly the extent of a law and order policy from the ED manifesto as found on the party website. With regards policing, it is recognised as a difficult job as a result of societal changes that have led to a breakdown in the social cohesion and shared values of old, thereby making the performance of traditional policing almost impossible. The ED's seek a return to a system where all are treated equally with the police no longer being hindered and demoralised by unreasonable ideological constraints. With regards the law the ED's favour less law and a simplification of law, as too many matters are currently covered by the criminal law. They would drastically reduce the number and extent of criminal offences and ensure that the criminal law is vigorously policed and enforced. The ED's would look to ensuring the public are allowed the means to protect themselves and their property by bearing arms. They would also ensure that prison space was increased.

Heritage Party

The speech by the party chairman David Kurten, as made at their conference held in Reading at the end of September, can be found on YouTube but not on the party website.

The Heritage Party believe that our British system of Common Law is the best in the world with all people considered equal before the law and all people being individually responsible for their own actions. They hold that all crime should be treated equally seriously, and the same sentence should be given for the same crime regardless of the characteristics of the criminal. The party recognises that the understanding of equality before the law is today being subverted by a growing number of activist magistrates, judges and police officers and the invention of 'hate crime' has led to a two-tier response. The party believes that policing and criminal justice must be re-focussed on arresting dangerous criminals and jailing them, rather than 'understanding systemic injustices against minorities', with an increase to and targeted stop and search as an effective tool for preventing crime. They see the automatic release from prison half way through a sentence for violent crime is wrong and criminals must serve the bulk of their sentence in prison, with no more than a 10% reduction for good behaviour. The party also recognises there to be a disproportionate rate of criminal behaviour in specific ethnic minorities, including the grooming and raping of thousands of under-age girls, this being a grave injustice and to be top of their priorities. The Heritage Party would invest in the legal system infrastructure from police stations to prisons.

Which Nationalist Party? (3 of 4)

Homeland Party

As one of the newest Nationalist parties there is much momentum in general within the Homeland Party with the recent publication of a ground breaking remigration policy seen as a highlight. Over the next decade the party see 'remigration' becoming as essential a concept as 'identity' and 'freedom', and consider themselves as the only UK party advocating and explaining remigration and now leading the national debate, with their proposals being a radical and necessary overhaul of the entire system and as a blueprint for all others that have serious intentions for dealing with uncontrolled immigration.

Whilst the party are still in the process of developing policies, they assert that the indigenous people of these islands are endowed with inalienable rights and liberties such that they aim to advocate for and enact policies that secure these ancient rights through the application of natural law, jurisprudence and principle of equality before the law.

National Front

The NF held its annual Remembrance Day march and rally, extracts available on YouTube. Sadly though, a very much diminished affair compared to heady days of the 1970's (re. article in **Britain, My Nation** edition 3).

With minimal information on the party website, no comprehensive policy on law and order can be found.

National Housing Party UK

A comprehensive policy on law and order cannot be determined although the NHPUK website includes policy on a couple of related individual policies. Their anti-social behaviour policy would involve a 3-strike penalty, starting with a course, followed by tagging and/or curfew and finally a custodial sentence. The party also has a decriminalisation of drugs policy and would seek to run a 10-year trial, where those drugs which are currently illegal would be made available on prescription, thereby destroying the lucrative drug trade and its associated knife and gun crime problems as run by criminal gangs. The last of their published policies is a knife crime policy where a mandatory 12 month prison sentence would be required for under 18s and 24 months for over 18s, if caught in possession of a bladed instrument.

National Rebirth Party

The party appears to be growing, with more regional meetings and regular social media activity. The party has recently published the third issue of the 'Rise Britannia' magazine as well as their 20 demand manifesto.

Demands 8 and 9 of this manifesto relate to law and order, with demand 8 specifically referring to a justice system that is fit for purpose where the most dangerous crimes carry the death penalty, along with the identification and removal from society of those perpetual criminals who are responsible for the majority of crimes. Demand 9 involves the creation of a new British Law System with a first principle of accessibility. The party believes that our current system is no longer fit for purpose, is overly complicated and unwieldy making it difficult for the average person to engage with, even before considering the excessive costs that may be incurred

New British Union

We understand that leader Gary Raikes is still recovering from a stroke and we, of course, wish him well.

The NBU law and order policy aims to bring order, security and safety back to the country and to people's homes. The party say they would work closely with police services, removing unnecessary bureaucracy, to establish what is needed to facilitate the return of law and order, particularly the Human Rights Act. This is intended to result in the return of traditional standards of law enforcement combined with social reform and the return of the death penalty for treason and murder. Prisons will see a return to a hard-labour regime removing incarceration as a soft option and where prisoners will have to contribute something back to society.

Patria

The party manifesto, includes a comprehensive law and order policy, which includes for the repeal of the Criminal Justice Act 2003, restoring to its rightful place the common law principle of double jeopardy, as well as repealing the Serious Crime Act 2015, Police Reform Act, 2002 and the Modern Slavery Act, 2015. Patria will invest in the system and increase police manpower and detention facilities which will not be run by private concerns. Capital punishment will be restored for murder and treason. Corporal punishment, specifically birching will also be restored as a sentencing option in respect of crimes of violence. The police and courts will be supported by freeing them from the politically correct 'woke' strait jacket that hinders them from doing their job properly.

Which Nationalist Party? (4 of 4)

Patriotic Alternative

The party conference took place on Saturday 19th October when approximately 200 Nationalists met near Burton on Trent. The party reports that " ..speakers included some of the most influential Nationalists available, making it the flagship event on the British Nationalist calendar". Speakers included Australian Nationalist, Blair Cottrell and German senior NPD member, Sascha Rossmuller, who joined podcaster and comedian The Ayatollah, as well as Workers of England Union representative, Niall McCrae, Simon Birkett, the founder of the Woodlander Initiative, a UK group that helps Nationalists pool funds and buy parcels of land to be used as Nationalist retreats, deputy PA leader, Laura Towler and leader Mark Collett. The conference was followed by an evening meal.

Item 16 of the PA plan entitled The Law of the Land includes for the judiciary and legal system to be freed from politically correct influences, with judges and magistrates found to have used the legal system in order to push a political agenda being relieved of their duties. Item 19, Crime, allows for all British citizens to defend both themselves and their property

Populist Party

No specific items relating to law and order policy can be determined.

Reclaim Party

Party leader and actor, Laurence Fox, made headlines on social media for his post on X (was Twitter) where he linked a video of the police arresting a man, for, what seems to be hurting someone else's feelings, and adding the comment "Buy yourself an axe, and greet the pigs at the door with it if they come knocking. The police are your enemy". This may not perhaps have been the wisest choice of words but nevertheless highlights the disproportionate actions of the police when real crimes are not being dealt with.

With regards actual law and order policy the party details this under the heading Reclaim the Law, which refers to the powers that the police have and the fact they have been misused in dealing with identity politics and special interest groups. The party say the police must be depoliticised and entrance qualifications, for potential police officers, will revert to how they were prior to their being a requirement for a degree. The police would return to being a "force" and not a "service".

Reform UK

With large-scale conferences across the country, it cannot be disputed that the popularity of Reform UK is growing rapidly. Conference speakers such as Nigel Farage, Lee Anderson, Ann Widdecombe and Matt Goodwin have addressed sell-out audiences. Several council by-elections have taken place in recent weeks with some wins for the party in areas in which Reform UK candidates have not stood before with vote share increasing to the extent they are very much seen as a credible voting option for a large swathe of disillusioned voters. The increase in the party's progress has also been noticed through events that ***Britain, My Nation*** editor has witnessed in his home county of Wiltshire. There, branches covering all 7 of the parliamentary constituencies have been set up with regular and well-attended meetings being held. The subversive Hope Not Hate organisation appear to be very worried about Reform UK, which can only be a good thing, reporting that the party builds an "… unprecedented platform to spread their hateful and divisive views…!" It is reported that the party is polling around 20% nationally and so with nigh on 100,000 members, the 2025 May elections may well prove very successful for the party.

On law and order the party policy states that it will increase police numbers, introduce zero tolerance policing, remove all woke references, review sentencing, increase detention places and reform the justice system.

UKIP

With the party still in disarray and a very out-of-date website, it is difficult to see UKIP recovering, with its demise increasingly appearing as the civil Nationalist equivalent to the BNP., although interim leader Nick Tenconi is increasingly making radical and edgy statements of the like that earlier versions of UKIP would have proscribed him, now it seems that the party will allow anyone to join. The party appears to have held a joint conference with Robin Tilbrook's English Democrats (as mentioned above), a far-cry from only 10 years ago when UKIP were seen as a major threat to the established parties.

According to the party website the UKIP manifesto is still under review and therefore no details can be determined regarding a law and order policy.

The Best of Spearhead Magazine – February 1976 (1)

In this edition ***of Britain, My Nation*** we continue our regular feature recognising, in our view, the best Nationalist magazine that the movement has ever seen, as produced by main editor John Tyndall, although for this issue and until 1980, the editor was Richard Verrall, with Martin Webster as contributing editor and John Tyndall being acknowledged as publisher. Richard Verrall under the *nom de plume* of Richard E. Harwood wrote the pamphlet *'Did Six Million Really Die?'* As usual we can only reproduce a few of the 20 pages from each issue, but can, once more, get a feel for the quality of the writing, the calibre of those in the movement and the positivity and strength of Nationalism at that time and must look at this as a marker for what we need to strive to achieve today.

Here we look at pages from Spearhead issue number 91 from February 1976, almost 50 years ago, a time when the threat we now face was far less than that we face today, a time when immigration was in the tens of thousands and not hundreds of thousands and Islam was not the increasingly dominant force it is in many of our towns and cities at this time. We are also today faced with the threat from our own people who welcome all of those elements that are bringing our nation to its knees and who see Nationalism as the threat, so our battle is forever uphill, but it is one that we must work together to fight as we will see victory eventually.



PROOF OF A CONSPIRACY

THAT a deliberate policy exists to cover up the appalling truth on immigration is proved by the fact that the Home Office knew about the true figure in May of last year, when the figures for 1974 were published, but clearly there was no intention of revealing it and every intention of suppressing it. The White Paper of 1974 said absolutely nothing about a wrong calculation in the previous year's statistics. First, the massive 'error', then the attempt to hide it. That amounts to a conspiracy, a Home Office cover-up.

It seems certain that this is merely part of a wider Establishment policy to deceive the public. The phony 17,000 figure for 1973 was hailed as a significant drop in immigration, and it so happens that 1973 was the first year of operation of the 1971 Immigration Act, which was supposed to be a firm measure to curtail the alien influx. Clearly it was necessary to "cook the books", because the true figure shows the frightening pattern of an ever-increasing invasion: 86,000 for 1973, 90,000 for 1974, and in 1975 the highest yet, with 75,000 in the first three quarters of the year alone. Immigration is INCREASING! In these last three years the coloured population has risen by more than a quarter of a million through immigration alone.

In the 1974 White Paper (which failed to mention the previous year's error), it was stated that the total number of coloured aliens who had been granted British citizenship in 1973 was 34,044. Of these, 25,633 were immigrants (mainly dependants), who were accepted for settlement on the spot at the port of entry, yet the Home Office was still maintaining the illusion that only 17,000 immigrants had entered that year.

Home Secretary Roy Jenkins, having been caught out in this deception, now says that net immigration figures are irrelevant anyway. The reliable indication for those settling in the country, he says, are the figures for acceptances of citizenship. In other words, of those immigrants who entered the country in 1973, 'only' 25,633 are actually here, not 86,000. We are supposed to believe that over sixty thousand have just gone (or will go) home. Who does he think he is kidding?

There is only one answer to this appalling problem of alien invasion — COMPLETE REPATRIATION!

Huge cover-up on immigration figures

ENOCH POWELL He spotted the Home Office deception.

THE CONSPIRACY to deceive the public about the massive and never-ending rate of coloured immigration has been exposed.

In 1973 the Home Office falsely stated that the number of coloured immigrants entering Britain that year was 17,000. It now turns out that the correct figure was an incredible 86,000. This piece of deception was glibly explained away by the Home Office as a "clerical error", the order of the 'error' amounting to nearly 70,000! A similar 'error' was made for 1974, and the net figure for that year was corrected to 89,000. Thus the total figure for coloured immigration in the two years 1973-74 reached a staggering 175,000!

The really sinister aspect of all this is that the fantastic discrepancy in the figures was only made public by pure chance. Jenkins gave the correct figure last November in a written answer to a Commons question, but even then the discrepancy was not noticed – to the immense relief of the Home Office. If Mr. Enoch Powell had not spotted it last month, nobody would have been any the wiser. And how many more massive "clerical errors" have there been? In fact, who can trust a single word the Home Office says on the immigration issue?

GREAT SPIRIT OF UNITY AT N.F. LONDON RALLY

JOHN TYNDALL TELLS MEMBERS: "THIS PARTY WILL LIVE FOREVER!"

Certain left-wing journalists who were gleefully predicting the break-up of the National Front, and who now speak wishfully rather than accurately about "what is left" of the NF, ought to have been at Conway Hall, Red Lion Square on the 14th January. There they would have seen the magnificent spirit of loyalty and party unity which has always been the strength of the National Front. It is this unshakeable spirit which is the guarantee of our party's survival, and of its march into the future.

Members from London, the Home Counties and South East filled Conway Hall to hear speeches by Charles Parker, Chairman of Brighton Branch, Martin Webster, John Tyndall and Acting NF Chairman Andrew Fountaine. The chairman of the meeting was David Bruce, Directorate member and Enfield Branch Organiser. In a magnificent response to an appeal for funds by Martin Webster, members contributed no less than £1,900, a record for a mainly regional meeting of this kind. £600 alone came from individual contributions of £100, which is certainly without precedent for a National Front meeting. In his appeal, Mr. Webster told the audience of the urgent need for party funds. These, he said, had been deliberately run into the red through the indiscriminate signing of cheques by Mr. Read and his fellow 'democrats' after the High Court ruling had signalled their failure to seize control of the party.

John Tyndall and Andrew Fountaine received standing ovations at the opening and close of their speeches. Mr. Tyndall called for all members of the party to close ranks. Organisers, he said, should act ruthlessly with waverers and fence-sitters. He spoke of the enduring qualities of the National Front which had survived all attempts to subvert it in the past, and which assured its future. He ended his speech, to enthusiastic applause: "This party will live forever!" Andrew Fountaine closed the meeting by expressing the will of every member of the National Front – **to go forward.**

Not responsible

As Chairman of the National Front Policy Committee, I have had my attention drawn to a pamphlet currently in circulation bearing the name of the party and entitled *The right to survive.* The pamphlet consists of 4 pages and deals with the impending new race laws.

It is the normal procedure in the National Front for all publications of this kind to be published by the party's Policy Committee and upon the responsibility of that Committee. As part of this, it is the rule that such publications are vetted by the Policy Committee with regard to their political content **and their standards of spelling, punctuation, grammar and good English.**

In view of this rule, which is known to most members, it might be assumed that the above named publication has been issued on the responsibility of the Policy Committee and first vetted by that Committee. I wish it to be known that this is not so, and that my first knowledge of the existence of the pamphlet, **which in a length of app. 4,000 words contains no fewer than 126 errors,** was when it came into my hands as a finished product off the printing press. *JOHN TYNDALL*

NEW HEADQUARTERS

NEW NATIONAL HEADQUARTERS
91, Connaught Road, Teddington, Middlesex
Tel: (01) 977 2452

The National Front has now moved to its new Head Office building in the Greater London Area (pictured above), generously made available to the party by a local member of our Richmond & Kingston Branch. The building has three times the space of our former offices at Pawsons Road Croydon, and is situated in a pleasant, residential area.

Four large rooms on the ground floor are immediately available for party use as our Editorial Office, Reception & Bookshop, Clerical Office and Packing & Despatch department. It is hoped that, in due course, some of the first floor space will also be made available.

The nearest B.R. station to our new Headquarters is FULWELL (which is reached from Clapham Junction), and from Fulwell station it is approx. 2 minutes walk.

We take this opportunity to remind NF Branch and Group Organisers that existing supplies of literature which carry our former Croydon address **can still be used until these run out.** A redirection order has been placed with the Post Office, so that all enquiries in response to existing literature will reach us at our new H.Q.

Freedom of Information Act 2000

Here we continue our look at the Freedom of Information Act 2000 (the FOIA) and how it has been used by Nationalists to substantiate claims made by our policy-makers and also for propaganda purposes.

As mentioned in our first FOIA article the ***Britain, My Nation*** editor along with Tom Linden worked together, defining the questions to be asked, of whom, the subsequent managing of the responses and how then to utilise the information to our benefit. Usually responses were received within 30 days even if it was a holding reply to advise that they were working on the data for a fuller response. It was also apparent from early on that some of the questions being asked were too sensitive and so consequently the response was that the information was not available. However, this was not the case with the first reply that we received in August 2006 when the Metropolitan Police Service (MPS) was asked if they could provide:-

The number of racial attacks by whites on blacks and also by blacks on whites for each of the last five years.

In retrospect, the wording of this request could have been a lot better, but these were early days and indicative of the lack of experience with this type of enquiry. The MPS did acknowledge the request and annotated it as Freedom of Information Request Reference No. 2006080005668. In early September a response was received, as follows:-

"This is to inform you that I cannot identify any specific records/documents that will satisfy your request based on the details you have provided. To enable the MPS to meet your request please provide this office with further information"

It was initially felt that this was a case where the information was deemed as too sensitive and that the MPS just did not want to provide the information requested, but as mentioned above it was probably not worded as it should have been and the MPS did offer some guidance to assist in more clearly describing the information required. They also added that the information could only be supplied for the Boroughs of London that the MPS policed with an approach to the Home Office being required should national figures be required. Tom Linden's email to me included the following line – "They really do not like to give this info up but I will keep on at them like a good little terrier". His subsequent email a week or so later advised me it contained " .. a reply that I would like!" and there it was, a reply with a table containing details of "Racial Attacks by White Europeans on Afro Caribbeans, and by Afro Caribbeans on White Europeans". The table was broken down by London Borough and showed that over a 5 year period for financial years 2001/02 to 2005/6 there were 2,102 white on black attacks and 663 black on white attacks. Although we thought that these figures would be the reverse of what they were, it did show that there was still a significant number of racial attacks on white people which helped prove contrary to the commonly held liberal belief that only white people can be deemed as capable of racial attacks. These statistics are now 20 years old and much has changed in the populations of all London Boroughs and so it would be of interest to see up to date figures particularly as the ethnic population of then, majority Afro-Caribbean must be very much exceeded by the Asian population particularly from Islamic backgrounds.

During the same period FOI requests were made to the Home Office including:-

a request for the numbers of non-British and non-white inmates in prison custody;

the number of Muslims resident in each county as in the last census;

the number of asylum seekers per county and total cost of these per county;

the costs to each county for providing translation services for those whose first language is not English; and so on.

In future editions of ***Britain, My Nation*** we will look at how these requests progressed and at others made at around the same time together with some more recent requests made and also a look at what is happening now that government agencies etc. know that they can be very embarrassed through the release of certain data particularly relating to the financial burden caused by the increasing number of illegal immigrants the tax-payer is supporting.

A Time In History

This regular feature lists some events in British history which took place on dates during the months covered by this publication.

December

7th 1930 Mosley's manifesto advocating a programme of public works is published.

12th 1901 The first transatlantic wireless (radio) signal, the letter S, is sent from Poldhu in Cornwall and received by Marconi at St John's in Newfoundland.

13th 1577 Francis Drake begins his voyage round the world in the *Golden Hind*, embarking from Plymouth.

16th 1773 The Boston Tea Party, promoted by protest against British taxation. A group of radical colonists disguised as Indians board British ships and empty their cargoes of tea into the sea. The British Government declares the port of Boston closed and takes away the colony's charter.

17th 1978 There are IRA bomb explosions in Southampton, Bristol, Manchester and Coventry.

25th 1642 Isaac Newton, scientist, is born.

27th 1831 The Admiralty survey ship, *HMS Beagle* with Charles Darwin on board, sets out from Plymouth on its famous five-year scientific voyage round the world; its findings are to have profound effects on scientific thought.

30th 1865 Rudyard Kipling, story and verse writer, is born in Bombay.

January

1st 1752 Officially 'New Year's Day' for the first time; until now the year officially began on March 25th.

5th 1066 Edward the Confessor dies; Harold Godwinson, Earl of Wessex, is chosen by the Witan to succeed him the next day.

7th 1451 Glasgow University is founded.

9th 1806 The funeral and burial of Lord Nelson at St Paul's Cathedral.

21st 1934 There is a large rally of the British Union of Fascists in Birmingham.

24th 1977 The second round of Rhodesian talks fails; Ian Smith rejects British proposals for a transition to black majority rule.

28th 1596 Sir Francis Drake dies of dysentery on board his ship and is buried at sea.

31st 1606 Guy Fawkes and his fellow-conspirators are executed by being hanged, drawn and quartered.

Letters Page

(contact details on page 3 – letters may be edited)

Dear Sirs

Having been a subscriber of your magazine from day 1, I thought it about time to put pen to paper and say how very much I enjoy the articles in it. Unfortunately, however, it also makes me rather sad that there are so many Brits who, despite seeing all that is going wrong in society, sit back and do nothing, even when they know that, if the decay we are experiencing continues, their perfect lives may fall down around them in the not too distant future. What will it take for our people to stand up and be counted? There must be thousands, nay, millions of like-minded people who can see the destruction that is taking place. Our governments of whichever persuasion during the last fifty or so years, have overseen this destruction, those very governments that have been voted into power, time and time again, by those very people who must surely see that the mainstream politicians are not there to serve us, or they would not let the destruction continue. It is little wonder that voter turnout at elections is at an all-time low. It is as if we have given up! Our democracy is not perfect but it is what we have for now and so we should utilise it as best we can to ensure our voices are heard. As a mother I feel such anger and bitterness that my children and grandchildren will grow up as strangers in what should be their own land. We must take it back before all is lost.

Yours faithfully, Mrs F, Morley, West Yorkshire.

Dear Britain, My Nation

I very much enjoy reading your magazine, in particular, the series on 'Which Nationalist Party?' The only criticism I might make is the inclusion of several parties i.e. British Freedom Party, British National Party, National Front, Patria and the Populist Party which are so small, or are so dormant, that the impact they have on our Nationalist movement is non-existent. Surely members of these parties can see that now is the time to take that step and join one of the major players in the movement because only then will their involvement have any chance of making any difference. I applaud the fact that what few members those parties have remain loyal to them, but what we are facing is bigger than that and if they remain where they are they will simply be an insignificance in the overall scheme of things when they may well prove an asset to the movers and shakers in the movement. I have recently taken that step, and left behind a party I have been with for a very long time, to join the Homeland Party as they seem to be the flavour of the month and are doing all of the right things and I have found doing so to be a breath of fresh Nationalist air!

Yours sincerely, BC, Somerset.

Editor's Reply – Our aim, here at Britain, My Nation, is to essentially sit on the fence but offer up as much information as we can to encourage reader's to make an informed decision about what is best for Nationalism. We do not take any pleasure from seeing the demise of the smaller parties because there are many good people who have, more so in the past, put a lot of time and effort into making them work. However, we realise that all good things must come to an end and our aim, as we have said from the very beginning, is to bring together all Nationalists under a common banner, even if that means working with those that we do not totally agree with, as only together we can be the force that this country needs to put things right. The saving of our nation from all that we know is wrong has to be what we strive for, minor squabbling and policy differences must be set aside. Time is not on our side!

Advertisement's

Bill Baillie's Blogs

The first of each month Bill Baillie, veteran of the *League of Empire Loyalists* and *Union Movement* Updates his always interesting two blogs:

Nation Revisited: ***nationrevisited.blogspot.com***

European Outlook: ***europeanoutlook.blogspot.com***

Bill's great wealth of knowledge of the nationalist movement is always fascinating and if you have any questions about the history or people he has known please ask him!

Contact Bill and subscribe to his Blog today

BROADSWORD

The quarterly magazine of The British Movement

Only £20.00 for a one year (5 issues) UK subscription
The Sunwheel,
PO Box 6,
Heckmondwike, WF16 0XF

www.britishmovementnorthern.org

Candour

Founded 1953 by A. K. Chesterton
Now in its 71st year
Many back issues 1960-2022 still available
Send £5.00 for a sample copy and catalogue to:

A.K. Chesterton Trust
BM Box Candour, London
WC1N 3XX, England
www.candour.org.uk


Heritage and Destiny
Which Way, European Man?
Is it now time to revive the spirit of Diksmuide?
Three generations of nationalists confront Europe's crisis
see pages 2 to 5
also in this issue:
Curt Roberts looks back on The Passing of Rhodesia and then America
see page 9
and:
'White Backlash'?
Archival letters of support to Enoch Powell, reviewed by Peter Rushton
see pages 18-20

Published bi-monthly, a six issue subscription costs £35 including UK postage. For a sample copy send just £5 Overseas prices by application. Payment should be made by cheque or money order. Please make payable to Heritage & Destiny and post to:
40 BIRKETT DRIVE
PRESTON
PR2 6HE

WWW.HERITAGEANDDESTINY.COM

League Sentinel
"Let all things be discussed, and let truth prevail"
The Newsletter Of The League Of St George
General Election
MIGRANTS CELEBRATE
LABOUR VICTORY
COMRADESHIP LOYALTY HONOUR

League Sentinel

Journal of the League of St George.
Published quarterly.
UK subscription is just £7.50 for four issues.
Contact: League Enterprises
5 Brayford Square
London. E1 0SG
Please make cheques/postal orders payable to
League Enterprises.
www.leaguestgeorge.org

The Supplement
Issue number 187
October 2024
A picture paints 1,000 words..
Two tier Starmer is trying to erase me from history.
Tell me about it. Been there and got the T shirt..

The Supplement

Supporting nationalism in Coventry/Warwickshire for over 20 years.

Send **£5.00** for a couple of sample copies to:
The Editor, PO Box 1295, Bedworth, CV12 0ZX

Call/text **07709-918537** or email **thesupplementcov@yahoo.co.uk**

Britain, My Nation – Back issues 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 - £2.50

Spearhead Magazines for sale – nos. ~~132~~, ~~133~~, ~~134~~, ~~135~~, ~~136~~, ~~137~~, ~~138~~, ~~139~~, ~~145~~, ~~146~~, ~~149~~, ~~163~~, ~~171~~, ~~172~~, ~~181~~, 183, 185, 186, 197, 208, 209, 227, 228, 230, 236, 251, 252, 253, 254, 261, 262, 263, 264, 271, 272, 273, 274 - £5.99.

For Sale - Voice of Freedom newspaper of the BNP nos. 88, 89, 90 - £2.99

All above postage free and available by contacting britainmynation@yahoo.com

Subscriptions (incl. p & p)

Sample Copy - £2.50

£15.00 per year (6 issues)

Bulk Rates (GB only)

5 copies - £7.50
10 copies - £12.50
15 copies - £15.00
20 copies - £17.50
25 copies - £20.00
50 copies - £35.00

Overseas rates on request

Payments by cheque or postal order (payable to R A Baggs), cash or 2nd class postage stamps to address on page 3.

"***Britain, My Nation***" is Published and Printed as a private concern by the Editor in a bid to forward the cause of sensible British Nationalism and to raise funds for the continuing fight against all of the enemies of this once great nation. All contents are the view of the Editor unless specified otherwise and are to be treated as just that. Thank you for your support.

Publication dates will be:

1st February, 1st April, 1st June, 1st August, 1st October, 1st December.